



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,850		03/30/2001	Trey E. Ideker	P-IS 4588	P-IS 4588 7007	
23601	7590	06/23/2004		EXAMINER		
CAMPBEL				ZEMAN, MARY K		
4370 LA JOI 7TH FLOOR		LAGE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO), CA 9	2122		1631	1631	
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/823,850	IDEKER ET AL.	
1. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
. '	Mary K Zeman	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	•	Gual vaiantian vahiahav	avia later da no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, ension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ð	-	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been con <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entered or be would be rejected is provided be	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs;		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-85</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>04 May 2004</u> is a	a)⊠ approved or b)□ disappro	ved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The office has lost the signature pages for IDECKER, and the examiner cannot accept papers by individual fax. The Examiner apploprizes for the inconvenience, and requests new copies of all papers. It would appear this paper, if fully executed would obviate all rejections of record.

MARY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER